

1 Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649
 2 Steven C. Strong, Utah Bar No. 6340
 3 RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.
 4 36 South State Street, Suite 1400
 5 P.O. Box 45385
 6 Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385
 Telephone: (801) 532-1500
 Facsimile: (801) 532-7543
 Email: ajarvis@rqn.com

E-FILED on January 9, 2007

7 Lenard E. Schwartzer, NV Bar No. 0399
 8 Jeanette E. McPherson, NV Bar No. 0399
 Schwartzer & McPherson Law Firm
 9 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Telephone: (702) 228-7590
 Facsimile: (702) 892-0122
 E-Mail: bkfilings@s-mlaw.com

12 Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

13
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

14
 15 In re:
 16 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,
 17 Debtor.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR
 Chapter 11

18 In re:
 19 USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,
 20 Debtor.

Jointly Administered Under
 Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

21 In re:
 22 USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED
 23 FUND, LLC,
 24 Debtor.

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF
 [PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT
 AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN
 SUPPORT OF THE "ORDER
 CONFIRMING THE "DEBTORS'
 THIRD AMENDED JOINT
 CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF
 REORGANIZATION, AS
 MODIFIED HEREIN"**

25 In re:
 26 USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,
 27 Debtor.

28 In re:
 29 USA SECURITIES, LLC,
 30 Debtor.

Affects:

- All Debtors
- USA Commercial Mortgage Company
- USA Securities, LLC
- USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
- USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

Confirmation Hearing
 Date: December 19, 2006
 Time: 10:00 a.m.

1 TO: ALL PARTIES IN INTEREST
2
3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a **[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND**
4 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THE "ORDER CONFIRMING THE**
5 **"DEBTORS' THIRD AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION,**
AS MODIFIED HEREIN" was entered on January 8, 2007, a copy of which is attached hereto.

Dated this 9th day of January, 2007

/s/ Lenard E. Schwartzer, Esq.

Lenard E. Schwartzer, Esq.

Jeanette E. McPherson, Esq.

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM

2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308

E-Mail: bkfilings@s-mlaw.com

and

and

Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 51110
1100 S. 400 E. Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Steven C. Strong, Utah Bar No. 63321
BAY QUINNEX & NEBEKER, L.L.C.

RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER
36 South Street, Suite 1400

36 South State Street, Suite 1400
P.O. Box 45285

P.O. Box 45385
Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0385

Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385
Email: dianna@verizon.com

Email: ajarvis@rqn.com

Attorneys for Defendants and Defendants

Attorneys for Debtors and Debto

Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

IRRIZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122



Entered on Docket
January 08, 2007

Hon. Linda B. Riegle
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649
RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.
36 South State Street, Suite 1400
P.O. Box 45385
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385
Telephone: (801) 532-1500
Facsimile: (801) 532-7543
Email: ajarvis@rqn.com
and

Lenard E. Schwartzer, NV Bar No. 0399
Schwartzer & McPherson Law Firm
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Telephone: (702) 228-7590
Facsimile: (702) 892-0122
E-Mail: bkfilings@s-mlaw.com
Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:
USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,
Debtor.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR

In re:
USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,
Debtor.

Chapter 11
Jointly Administered Under
Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

In re:
USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,
Debtor.

[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THE "ORDER CONFIRMING THE "DEBTORS' THIRD AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION, AS MODIFIED HEREIN"

In re:
USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,
Debtor.

In re:
USA SECURITIES, LLC,
Debtor.

Affects:
 All Debtors
 USA Commercial Mortgage Company
 USA Securities, LLC
 USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
 USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
 USA First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

Confirmation Hearing
Date: December 19, 2006
Time: 10:00 a.m.

11 (a) the Plan and all accompanying exhibits, including, without limitation, the
12 Plan Documents Supplement, the Revised Schedule of Executory Contracts and Unexpired
13 Leases, the forms of Disbursing Agent Agreements for USACM and FTDF filed by the Debtors
14 and the Direct Lender Supplement;

15 (b) the "Debtors' First Amended Disclosure Statement to Debtors' Third
16 Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization" (the "Disclosure Statement") previously approved by the
17 Court;

18 (c) the "Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Confirmation of
19 the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" (the "Confirmation
20 Memorandum");

21 (d) the Declaration of Thomas J. Allison filed in support of confirmation of the
22 Plan (the "Allison Declaration");

23 (e) the Affidavit of Balloting Agent Regarding Solicitation and Tabulation of
24 Votes in Connection with the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization,
25 regarding compliance with the "Solicitation Procedures" approved in the Disclosure Statement
26 Order ("BMC Declaration");

¹ All terms not otherwise defined in these Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (the "Findings") shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Plan.

(f) the Declaration of David Blatt of Compass Partners LLC in Support of Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization ("Compass Declaration");

(g) the "Affidavit of Balloting Agent Regarding Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes in Connection with the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" and the Ballot Tabulation Report attached as Exhibit A thereto [Docket No. 2165] and "Supplemental Affidavit of Balloting Agent Regarding Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes in Connection with the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" and the Revised Ballot Tabulation Report attached as Exhibit B thereto [Docket No. 2243], which detail the tabulation of Ballots cast for or against the Plan;

(h) the "Partial Opposition to Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization," filed by Erna D. Grundman and Joanne M. Grundman;

(i) the "Partial Opposition to Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization," filed by Joanne M. Grundman;

(j) the "Objection of The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation to Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization," filed by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC");

(k) the "Objection to Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization," filed by Edward Burgess;

(l) "Liberty Bank's Limited Objection to Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization," filed by Liberty Bank;

(m) "Standard Property Company, LLC's Limited Objection to the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization," filed by Standard Property, LLC;

(n) "Joinder in Standard Property Company, LLC's Limited Objection to the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization on Behalf of Copper Sage Commerce Center LLC," filed by Copper Sage Commercial Center;

(o) "Joinder in Standard Property Company, LLC's Limited Objection to the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization on Behalf of Binford Medical Developers," filed by Binford Medical Developers;

(p) "Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Confirmation of Debtor's Plan," filed by Debt Acquisition Company of America V and the Declaration of Howard Justus [Docket No. 2032] filed in support thereof;

(q) "Limited Objection to Plan," filed by Gregory Walch and Shauna Walch, etc.;

(r) the "Objection of USA Investment Partners, LLC, Joseph Milanowski and Thomas Hantges to Confirmation of the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization," filed by Joseph Milanowski and Thomas Hantges (the "H&M Objection") and the Declaration of Victoria Loob filed in support thereof (the "Loob Declaration");

(s) the "Objection to Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization as it Applies to Debtor USA Commercial Mortgage Company," filed by The Lenders Protection Group (the "LPG Objection");

(t) the Declaration of Donna Cangelosi filed in support of the LPG Objection (the “Cangelosi Declaration”);

(u) Multiple joinders to objections to confirmation of the Plan filed by Objecting JV Creditors [Docket nos. 2040, 2099, 2179, 2180, 2182, 2184, and 2191];

(v) "Limited Objection to Pecos Professional Park Limited Partnership and Haspinov, LLC, to Debtors' Proposed Plan of Reorganization," filed by USA Commercial Real Estate Group;

(w) "Joinder in Limited Opposition to Plan," filed by Direct Lenders Alexander and others as shown in the Second Amended Statement of Robert C. LePome, Esq. and Nancy Allf, Esq. Pursuant to Rule 2019;

(x) "Errata to Limited Objection to Plan," filed by Gregory J. Walch and Shauna M. Walch, Trustees of the Gregory J. and Shauna M. Walch Family Trust;

(y) the "Opposition and Joinder of Sierra Liquidity Fund, L.L.C. in Oppositions [sic] to Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization" (the "Sierra Liquidity Objection" and, collectively with the objections to the Plan listed in (h)-(x) above, the "Objections");

1 (z) the "Debtors' Combined Motion In Limine And Memorandum In Support
2 Regarding The Objection Of USA Investment Partners, LLC, Joseph Milanowski And Thomas
3 Hantges To The Confirmation Of The Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of
4 Reorganization," filed by the Debtors; and

5 (aa) all other pleadings, affidavits and documents filed in connection with this
6 matter.

7 The Court has heard the statements, arguments, representations and offers of proof
8 of counsel regarding confirmation of the Plan at the Confirmation Hearing, and has considered the
9 record of these Chapter 11 Cases and all testimony and evidence admitted at or before the
10 Confirmation Hearing.

11 These findings of fact and conclusions of law are in support of the "Order
12 Confirming the 'Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of Reorganization,' As Modified
13 Herein" (the "Confirmation Order"), entered concurrently herewith.

14 Based on the foregoing, the Court makes the following findings of fact and
15 conclusions of law, as supplemented by the findings of fact and conclusions of law stated orally
16 and reported in open court on the record at the Confirmation Hearing (which are incorporated
17 herein) pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7052:²

FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

19 A. This matter is a core proceeding over which the Court has jurisdiction
20 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b) and 1334(a). Venue of this proceeding is proper under 28 U.S.C.
21 §§ 1408 and 1409.

22 B. The Debtors provided notice of the Confirmation Hearing and of the time
23 fixed for balloting and filing objections to confirmation of the Plan to all entities entitled to
24 receive such notice, including all known holders of Claims and Equity Interests of the Debtors.
25
The notice by the Debtors of such matters fully and adequately described the relief requested at the

² This document constitutes the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 7052 and 9014. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7052, findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law, and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact, when appropriate.

1 Confirmation Hearing and was reasonable, appropriate, and complied in all regards with due
 2 process and the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules (including
 3 Bankruptcy Rules 2002, 3017, 3018, and 3019), and the Local Bankruptcy Rules and orders of the
 4 Court, including this Court's "Order Approving: (A) Debtors' Disclosure Statement; (B) Proposed
 5 Notice of Confirmation Hearing; (C) Proposed Solicitation and Notice Procedures; and (D)
 6 Proposed Form of Ballots" (the "Disclosure Statement Order").

7 C. Pursuant to the Disclosure Statement Order, all objections to confirmation
 8 of the Plan, including all evidence in support thereof, were required to be filed by December 11,
 9 2006 and, therefore, the Cangelosi Declaration filed on December 18, 2006 and the Sierra
 10 Liquidity Objection filed on December 15, 2006 were not timely filed and were stricken from the
 11 record and/or overruled.

12 D. The only evidence submitted in support of the H&M Objection was the
 13 Loob Declaration. On December 18, 2006, the Debtors undertook an examination of Ms. Loob
 14 pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004 where Ms. Loob asserted her rights under the Fifth Amendment
 15 of the United States Constitution in connection with questions concerning the H&M Objection and
 16 her declaration in support thereof. Additionally, USA Investment Partners ("USAIP") and Joseph
 17 Milanowski each failed to appear at Court-ordered examinations to be conducted pursuant to Rule
 18 2004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Upon consideration of Ms. Loob's assertion
 19 of her Fifth Amendment rights, the failure of USAIP and Mr. Milanowski to appear at their
 20 respective Rule 2004 examinations, and the Motion in Limine, the H&M Objection and Loob
 21 Declaration were stricken from the record.

22 E. None of the Objections, including the LPG Objection, raised any objection
 23 with respect to the classification of the Classes of Claims and/or Equity Interests as set forth in the
 24 Plan or use of a Class to meet cramdown requirements. Further, Class A-5 consists of holders of
 25 "claims" within the meaning of Bankruptcy Code section § 101(5).

26 F. The Debtors conducted the solicitation of acceptances or rejections of the
 27 Plan, and the related distribution and tabulation of ballots with respect thereto, in good faith and in
 28 compliance with the Disclosure Statement Order, all applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy

1 Rules (including Bankruptcy Rules 3017 and 3018), all applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy
 2 Code (including sections 1125 and 1126), and all other applicable laws, rules, and regulations.
 3 Among other things, the Debtors transmitted the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, and the
 4 applicable ballot to all known holders of Claims and Equity Interests of the Debtors that are
 5 impaired under, and therefore entitled to vote on, the Plan.

6 G. The Plan satisfies all of the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
 7 section 1129(a) as follows:

8 1. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(1): the Plan complies with all of the applicable
 9 provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, including Bankruptcy Code sections 1122 and 1123.

10 The classification structure is proper and in accordance with Section 1122 of the
 11 Bankruptcy Code. Each Class under the Plan differs in legal character or nature. All Claims and
 12 Equity Interests within each Class are substantially similar to the other Claims or Equity Interests
 13 in that Class because they are similar in legal character to the other Claims against or Equity
 14 Interests in Debtors in such class.

15 Article II Section C of the Plan designates Classes of Claims and Equity Interests
 16 for each of the Debtors, other than those specified in Sections 507(a)(2), (a)(3) and (a)(8) of the
 17 Bankruptcy Code, and states the treatment of each Class under the Plan in accordance with
 18 Sections 1123(a)(1), (2) and (3). The Plan also provides for the same treatment of each Claim and
 19 Equity Interest within a particular Class in accordance with Section 1123(a)(4).

20 Article IV of the Plan provides adequate means for its implementation in a manner
 21 that is consistent with Section 1123(a)(5) and (b).

22 2. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(2): the Debtors have complied with all of the
 23 applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, including Bankruptcy Code section 1125.

24 3. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(3): the Debtors have proposed the Plan in good faith
 25 and not by any means forbidden by law. Moreover, the Plan itself, and the negotiated process
 26 leading to its formulation, along with all four Committees, provide independent evidence of the
 27 good faith of the Debtors.

28 4. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(4): Article II Section B.1 and Article VII Section A of

1 the Plan, provides for the appropriate review and determination of the fees and expenses incurred
 2 through the Effective Date.

3 5. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(5): the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, the exhibits to
 4 the Plan, the Confirmation Memorandum, the Plan Documents Supplement, the Direct Lender
 5 Supplement, and the disclosures at the Confirmation Hearing disclose the identity, qualifications,
 6 method of election, and/or compensation of the DTDF Administrator, the DTDF Post-Effective
 7 Date Committee, USACM Trustee, the USACM Trust Committee, the Disbursing Agents for
 8 FTDF, USA Realty and USA Securities, respectively. The appointment of such persons is
 9 consistent with the interests of creditors and shareholders and with public policy.

10 6. 11 U.S.C. §§1129(a)(6): the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
 11 section 1129(a)(6) are not applicable to the Plan.

12 7. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(7): each holder of a Claim or Equity Interest in a Class
 13 that is impaired under the Plan will receive or retain under the Plan property of a value, as of the
 14 Effective Date, that is not less than such holder would so receive or retain if the Debtors were
 15 liquidated under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on such date.

16 8. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(8), (10): In the Chapter 11 Cases of FTDF, DTDF,
 17 USA Realty, and USA Securities, Classes, B-1 through B-4, C-1 through C-4, D-1 through D-3
 18 and E-1 through E-3 are unimpaired, and Classes B-5, C-5, D-4 and E-4, though impaired, have
 19 accepted the Plan. The Plan, with respect to the Chapter 11 Cases of FTDF, DTDF, USA Realty,
 20 and USA Securities, thus satisfies the requirement of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)(8).

21 In the Chapter 11 case of USACM, Classes A-1 through A-3 are unimpaired,
 22 and classes A-4 through A-8 are impaired under the Plan. Classes A-6 through A-8 will receive
 23 no distribution under the Plan and are deemed to reject the Plan. Impaired Class A-5 has voted to
 24 accept the Plan, and based on the Revised Ballot Tabulation Report, impaired Class A-4 also has
 25 voted to accept the Plan. Accordingly, Section 1129 (a)(8) has been satisfied with respect to
 26 USACM's Chapter 11 Case. Based on the acceptance of the Plan by Class A-5, Section
 27 1129(a)(10) has been met with regard to USACM's Chapter 11 Case even if Class A-4 had not
 28 accepted the Plan as a result of the Del Bunch Rule 3018 contested matter.

Section 1129(a)(10) does not apply to FTDF or DTDF because there is no impaired class of Claims in their respective Chapter 11 Cases

In USA Realty's case, Classes D-4, the only voting impaired Class of Claims, has voted to accept the Plan. Section 1129(a)(10) is met in USA Realty's Chapter 11 Case.

In USA Securities' case, Class E-4, the only voting impaired Class of Claims, has voted to accept the Plan. Section 1129(a)(10) is met with regard to USA Securities' Chapter 11 Case.

9. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9): the treatment of Allowed Administrative Expense Claims, Allowed Priority Unsecured Claims, Allowed Priority Tax Claims and Allowed Secured Tax Claims as set forth in Article II, Sections B. and C. of the Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)(9) in that holders of such Administrative Expense Claims, Priority Unsecured Claims, Priority Tax Claims and Secured Tax Claims will be paid in full on the Effective Date, or as soon as such expenses or claims become Allowed under the terms of the Plan, except where the holder of such Claim agrees to a different treatment.

10. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(11): the Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)(11) as the evidence submitted by the Debtors shows that each of the Debtors has sufficient funds on the Effective Date to make all of the distributions required to be made under the Plan and that the Plan, including all of the documents incorporated therein, has adequate provisions for the creation and management of the USACM Trust, the retention of assets by and the management of Post-Effective Date DTDF, for making distributions to holders of Allowed Claims or Equity Interests classified in Classes A-4 (Allowed General Unsecured Claims against USACM), B-4 (Allowed General Unsecured Claims against FTDF), B-5 (Equity Interests in FTDF), C-4 (Allowed General Unsecured Claims against DTDF), C-5 (Equity Interests in DTDF), D-4 (Allowed General Unsecured Claims against USA Realty) and E-4 (Allowed General Unsecured Claims against USA Securities), which are the only Classes that will receive a distribution under the Plan, and to implement the provisions of the settlement with the holders of Class A-5 (Direct Lender Compromise Claims).

11. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(12): the Plan's provision for the payment of statutory

1 fees to the Office of the United States Trustee, satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
 2 section 1129(a)(12).

3 12. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(13): the Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy
 4 Code section 1129(a)(13) because the Debtors are not subject to any retiree benefits as defined in
 5 Bankruptcy Code section 1144.

6 13. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(14): the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
 7 section 1129(a)(14), which mandate the payment of domestic obligations, are inapplicable to these
 8 business Debtors.

9 14. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(15): the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
 10 section 1129(a)(15), which only applies to cases where the debtor is an individual, are inapplicable
 11 to these business Debtors.

12 15. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(16): the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
 13 section 1129(a)(16), which only applies to "the transfer of property by a corporation or trust that is
 14 not a moneyed, business, or commercial corporation or trust", are inapplicable because each of the
 15 Debtors is a moneyed business or commercial corporation.

16 16. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b)(1) and (2): With respect to Classes A-6, A-7, A-8, D-5
 17 and E-5, which have not accepted the Plan, and Class A-4, to the extent such Class has not
 18 accepted the Plan, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code sections 1129(b)(1) and
 19 1129(b)(2) because the Plan does not discriminate unfairly against, and provides fair and equitable
 20 treatment with respect to, the Allowed Claims in Classes A-4, A-6 and A-7 and Allowed Equity
 21 Interests in Classes A-8, D-5 and E-5 in that the Plan provides for no distribution to any junior
 22 Class in a Debtor's Estate and does not discriminate unfairly among such Classes.

23 H. The Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(b) as
 24 follows:

25 1. All of the applicable requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)
 26 other than paragraph (8) are met with respect to the Plan.

27 2. The Plan does not discriminate unfairly with respect to Classes A-4 (to the
 28 extent such Class has not accepted the Plan), A-6, A-7, A-8, D-5 and E-5.

1 3. The Plan is "fair and equitable" as to Classes A-4 (to the extent such Class
 2 has not accepted the Plan) and A-6 because holders of junior Claims or Equity Interests will not
 3 receive or retain under the Plan on account of such junior Claim or Equity Interest any property.

4 4. The only Classes of Claims and Equity Interests that are junior to Class A-4
 5 Claims are Claims in Classes A-6 through A-8. Holders of Class A-6 Claims will not receive or
 6 retain any property unless holders of Allowed Class A-4 Claims are paid in full, plus interest.
 7 Holders of Class A-7 Claims will not receive or retain under the Plan on account of their Claim
 8 any property unless holders of Class A-4 and Class A-6 Claims are paid in full, plus interest.
 9 Furthermore, holders of Equity Interests in USACM, classified in Class A-8, will receive no
 10 distribution and retain no property under the Plan.

11 5. The only Classes of Claims and Equity Interests that are junior to Class A-6
 12 Claims are Claims in Classes A-7 through A-8. Holders of Class A-7 Claims will not receive or
 13 retain under the Plan on account of their Claim any property unless holders of Class A-6 Claims
 14 are paid in full, plus interest. Furthermore, holders of Equity Interests in USACM, classified in
 15 Class A-8, will receive no distribution and retain no property under the Plan

16 6. The Plan is "fair and equitable" as to Class A-7 because holders of junior
 17 Claims or Equity Interests will not receive or retain under the Plan on account of such junior
 18 Claim or Equity Interest any property. The only Class that is junior to Class A-7 Claims is Class
 19 A-8, which is comprised as Equity Interests in USACM. Holders of Equity Interests in USACM
 20 will receive no distribution or retain any property under the Plan.

21 7. The Plan is "fair and equitable" within the meaning of section
 22 1129(b)(2)(C)(ii) as to Class A-8, which is comprised of Equity Interests in USACM, Class D-5,
 23 which is comprised of Equity Interests in USA Realty, and Class E-5, which is comprised of
 24 Equity Interests in USA Securities because no holder of any interest that is junior to the Equity
 25 Interests treated in these Classes will receive or retain any property under the Plan.

26 I. Article V of the Plan governing the assumption and rejection of executory
 27 contracts and unexpired leases satisfies the requirements of sections 365 and 1123(b)(2) of the
 28 Bankruptcy Code. As provided in the Debtors' Revised Schedule of Executory Contracts And

1 Unexpired Leases In Connection With Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of
 2 Reorganization, filed on December 18, 2006 (docket no. 2162), the Debtors have elected to reject
 3 all executory contracts and unexpired leases.

4 J. The principal purpose of the Plan is not the avoidance of taxes or the
 5 avoidance of the application of section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. § 77e).

6 K. All modifications to the Plan filed or announced prior to the conclusion
 7 of the Confirmation Hearing constitute technical changes and/or changes that have either been
 8 consented to by affected constituents or which do not adversely affect or change the treatment
 9 of any other Claims or Equity Interests. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3019, these
 10 modifications do not require additional disclosure under Bankruptcy Code section 1125 or
 11 1127(a), or resolicitation of votes under Bankruptcy Code section 1126, nor do they require that
 12 holders of Claims or Equity Interests be afforded an opportunity to change previously cast
 13 acceptances or rejections of the Plan. The Plan as so modified meets the requirements of
 14 Bankruptcy Code sections 1122 and 1123. Such modifications shall be deemed accepted by
 15 each holder of a Claim or Equity Interest who has previously voted to accept the Plan.

16 L. The form of documents in the Plan Documents Supplement, including
 17 the USACM Liquidating Trust Agreement, the DTDF Amended Operating Agreement, the
 18 Direct Lender Supplement, and the Disbursing Agent Agreements for USACM and FTDF
 19 substantially in the form filed by the Debtors are appropriate.

20 M. The appointment of Michael Tucker of FTI Consulting to serve as the
 21 DTDF Administrator and to perform the duties specified under the Plan and the DTDF
 22 Amended Operating Agreement is appropriate and consistent with the best interests of DTDF's
 23 creditors, Equity Interest holders, and the interests of public policy.

24 N. The appointment of Geoffrey L. Berman of Development Specialists,
 25 Inc. to serve as the USACM Trustee and to perform the duties specified under the Plan and the
 26 USACM Trust Agreement is appropriate and consistent with the best interests of USACM's
 27 creditors and the interests of public policy.

28 O. The parties identified to the Court to serve as members of the USACM

1 Trust Committee and the DTDF Post-Effective Date Committee are appropriate to serve in such
 2 roles.

3 P. All of the conditions precedent to Confirmation of the Plan described in
 4 Article VI Section A of the Plan have been satisfied.

5 Q. The agreements, transactions and transfers authorized by the Confirmation
 6 Order, including, without limitation, the USACM Trust Agreement and the DTDF Amended
 7 Operating Agreement, are fair, equitable and reasonable, are entered into in good faith, are in the
 8 best interests of the USACM and DTDF, their respective creditors and Estates (and with respect to
 9 DTDF, its Equity Interest holders), and help provide adequate means for implementing the Plan.

10 R. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 1125(e), Persons, including the
 11 Debtors, the Committees, and their respective attorneys, agents, directors, officers and
 12 representatives, have acted in good faith with respect to the solicitation of votes on the Plan and
 13 thus are entitled to all the protections of Bankruptcy Code section 1125(e).

14 S. The injunctions, releases and limitations on liability contained in the Plan
 15 are fair and equitable, are given for valuable consideration, were properly noticed to holders of
 16 Claims and Equity Interests and other interested parties in accordance with the requirements of
 17 due process and the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules, and are
 18 in the best interests of the Debtors and their Estates.

19 T. Each term and provision of the Plan is valid and enforceable pursuant to its
 20 terms.

21 U. The Plan satisfies the requirements for confirmation set forth in
 22 section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code.

23 V. The Court's retention of jurisdiction as set forth in Article VIII Section D of
 24 the Plan is appropriate and comports with the parameters contained in 28 U.S.C. § 157.

25 W. The provisions of the Plan, these Findings and the Confirmation Order
 26 shall bind the Debtors, their respective Estates, the Asset Purchaser, the USACM Trustee, the
 27 DTDF Administrator, and all holders of Claims against, and Equity Interests in the Debtors,
 28 whether or not the Claims or Equity Interests of such entities are Allowed under the Plan or

1 impaired under the Plan, whether or not such entities have voted to accept or reject the Plan,
 2 and whether or not such entities have filed or are deemed to have filed proofs of Claim or
 3 Equity Interests in these Chapter 11 Cases.

4 X. The transfer of the Acquired Assets (including, without limitation, both
 5 real and personal property) to the Asset Purchaser is a transfer in accordance with Bankruptcy
 6 Code section 1146(c) and, therefore the making, delivery, filing or recording of any mortgages,
 7 deeds of trust, leasehold mortgages, leases (whether recorded or unrecorded), and/or the various
 8 instruments and documents of transfer as specified in or contemplated by the Asset Purchase
 9 Agreement or the Plan (collectively, "Instruments of Transfer"), and/or the exhibits thereto are
 10 hereby exempt from taxation under any law imposing a recording tax, stamp tax, sales tax,
 11 transfer tax, use tax or any similar tax or any so-called "bulk-sale". The appropriate federal,
 12 state or local government filing and recording officers are hereby directed to accept for filing or
 13 recording all Instruments of Transfer or other documents of transfer to be filed and recorded in
 14 accordance with the Plan or the Asset Sale Transaction, without payment of any such tax or
 15 government assessment, and without the presentation of any affidavits, instruments, or returns
 16 otherwise required for recording, other than the Confirmation Order. The Court retains
 17 jurisdiction to enforce the foregoing direction, by contempt proceedings or otherwise.

18 Y. All DTDF Litigation Claims and FTDF Transferred Assets accruing to
 19 DTDF or FTDF, or their Estates, shall remain assets of the Post-Effective Date DTDF, whether
 20 or not litigation relating thereto is pending on the Effective Date and whether or not any such
 21 right or cause of action has been listed or referred to in the Plan, the Disclosure Statement or
 22 any schedule, exhibit or other document filed in connection therewith.

23 Z. All USACM Litigation Claims and the FTDF Litigation Claims (transferred
 24 to USACM pursuant to section E.2.j of Art. IV of the Plan) accruing to USACM or FTDF,
 25 respectively, or their respective Estates shall remain assets of and vest in the USACM Trust,
 26 whether or not litigation relating thereto is pending on the Effective Date and whether or not any
 27 such right or cause of action has been listed or referred to in the Plan, the Disclosure Statement or
 28 any schedule, exhibit or other document filed in connection therewith.

1 AA. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.1. of the Plan, the compromise between
2 USACM and the Direct Lenders is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the USACM
3 Estate and the Direct Lenders, respectively.

4 BB. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.2. of the Plan, the compromise between
5 USACM and FTDF is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the USACM Estate and
6 FTDF Estate, respectively.

7 CC. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.3. of the Plan, the compromise between
8 FTDF and DTDF is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the FTDF Estate and DTDF
9 Estate, respectively.

10 DD. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.4. of the Plan, the compromise between
11 FTDF and USA Realty is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the FTDF Estate and
12 USA Realty Estate, respectively.

13 EE. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.5. of the Plan, the compromise
14 between DTDF and USA Realty is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the DTDF
15 Estate and USA Realty Estate, respectively.

16 FF. The Asset Purchase Agreement and the transactions contemplated by the
17 Asset Purchase Agreement were negotiated and have been and are undertaken by USACM and
18 FTDF (FTDF together with USACM, the "Sellers") and Asset Purchaser at arm's length,
19 without collusion or fraud, and in good faith within the meaning of section 363(m) of the
20 Bankruptcy Code, and, accordingly, the reversal or modification on appeal of the authorization
21 provided herein to consummate the Asset Sale Transaction shall not affect the validity of the
22 transfer of the Acquired Assets to the Asset Purchaser unless such authorization is duly stayed
23 pending such appeal.

24 GG. The Auction conducted in accordance with the Bid Procedures Order on
25 December 7, 2006, at which Asset Purchaser was declared the highest and best bidder, was
26 conducted in good faith within the meaning of section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code. The
27 Asset Purchaser is a purchaser in good faith of the Acquired Assets. As a result of the
28 foregoing, the Sellers and Asset Purchaser entitled to the protections of section 363(m) of the

1 Bankruptcy Code.

2 HH. The Asset Purchase Agreement was negotiated, proposed and entered
 3 into by the Debtors and the Asset Purchaser without collusion, in good faith, and from arm's-
 4 length bargaining positions.

5 II. There were no brokers involved in the Asset Sale Transaction, and no
 6 brokers' commissions are due with respect to the Asset Sale Transaction.

7 JJ. The Asset Purchaser is not an "insider" of any of the Debtors, as that
 8 term is defined in section 101 of the Bankruptcy Code.

9 KK. The transfer of the Acquired Assets to the Asset Purchaser will be a
 10 legal, valid, and effective transfer of the Acquired Assets, and will vest the Asset Purchaser
 11 with all right, title, and interest of the Sellers to the Acquired Assets free and clear of all liens,
 12 claims, interests, obligations and encumbrances whatsoever, including, but not limited to, (A)
 13 all monetary and non-monetary defaults and rights that purport to give to any party a right or
 14 option to effect any forfeiture, modification, right of first refusal, or termination of the Sellers'
 15 or the Asset Purchaser's interest in, or rights in or under, the Acquired Assets, or any similar
 16 rights, based in any way on any action taken (or failed to be taken) by any of the Debtors or any
 17 other matter or occurrence relating to the period prior to the Closing (other than any right that
 18 existed and was matured and exercisable, as of the Petition Date, to effect a substitution of
 19 USACM as loan servicer under Section 3 of any Loan Servicing Agreement, as well as any
 20 defenses of the loan servicer thereto (a "Surviving Section 3 Right")); (B) taxes arising under or
 21 out of, in connection with, or in any way relating to the existence, ownership, management or
 22 servicing of the Acquired Assets prior to the Closing; and (C) (i) all mortgages, deeds of trust,
 23 security interests, conditional sale or other title retention agreements, pledges, liens, judgments,
 24 demands, encumbrances, rights of first refusal or charges of any kind or nature, if any,
 25 including, but not limited to, any restriction on the use, voting, transfer, receipt of income or
 26 other exercise of any attributes of ownership and (ii) all debts arising in any way in connection
 27 with any agreements, acts, or failures to act, of any of the Sellers or any of the Sellers'
 28 predecessors or affiliates; all claims (as that term is defined in the Bankruptcy Code),

1 obligations, liabilities, rights of recoupment or setoff, demands, guaranties, options, rights,
2 restrictions, interest and matters of any kind and nature in any way relating to the existence,
3 ownership, management or servicing of the Acquired Assets prior to Closing, whether known
4 or unknown, contingent or otherwise, whether arising prior to or subsequent to the
5 commencement of these cases pursuant to chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and whether
6 imposed by agreement, understanding, law, equity or otherwise, including but not limited to
7 claims otherwise arising under doctrines of successor liability (collectively, "Interests");
8 provided, however, that, in connection with any attempted post-Closing exercise of a Surviving
9 Section 3 Right: (a) the Direct Lenders must provide Compass at least thirty (30) days prior
10 written notice of the intended exercise of such right in accordance with section 8 of the Loan
11 Servicing Agreement, (b) Compass shall have the right to challenge the exercise of such
12 Surviving Section 3 Right by filing a motion with this Court prior to the expiration of such
13 thirty (30) day period to determine whether such Surviving Section 3 Right has been properly
14 and validly exercised (the "Compass Motion") and the Court shall retain jurisdiction to
15 adjudicate any such disputes, (c) in the event Compass timely files such Compass Motion, the
16 effectiveness of the attempted exercise of such Surviving Section 3 Right shall be stayed
17 pending this Court's entry of an order in respect of the Compass Motion, and (d) the post-
18 Closing survival of such Surviving Section 3 Right shall not impair in any respect any rights or
19 interests of Compass under the Loan Servicing Agreements, including, without limitation, its
20 rights under-Section 2(c)(iii) of the Loan Servicing Agreement. In the event of a proper
21 exercise of remedies under Section 3 of the Loan Servicing Agreement, (i) neither the Direct
22 Lenders nor any replacement servicer selected by such Direct Lender shall have the right or
23 ability to compromise, subordinate, or impair, in any respect, any claims purchased by Compass
24 from the Estates for default interest, accrued servicing fees, late charges, success fees, or other
25 amounts under the Loan Servicing Agreement, and (ii) the Confirmation Order shall be binding
26 upon such replacement servicer regardless of whether such replacement servicer actually
27 received such copy of the Confirmation Order.

28 LL. The Loan Servicing Agreements are not executory contracts under

1 Bankruptcy Code section 365 and can be transferred to the Asset Purchaser under Bankruptcy
 2 Code sections 1123 and 363(b) without being assumed and assigned to the Asset Purchaser
 3 under Bankruptcy Code section 365.

4 MM. The Asset Purchaser would not have entered into the Asset Purchase
 5 Agreement and would not consummate the transactions contemplated thereby, thus adversely
 6 affecting the Sellers, their Estates, their creditors, and, with respect to FTDF, its Equity Interest
 7 holders, if the sale of the Acquired Assets to the Asset Purchaser was not free and clear of all
 8 Interests of any kind or nature whatsoever, or if the Asset Purchaser would, or in the future
 9 could, be liable for any of the Interests, including, without limitation, any liabilities not
 10 expressly assumed by the Asset Purchaser.

11 NN. The consideration provided by Asset Purchaser pursuant to the Asset
 12 Purchase Agreement (i) is fair and reasonable, (ii) is the highest and best offer for the Acquired
 13 Assets, (iii) will provide a greater recovery to the Sellers' Estates than would be provided by
 14 any other available alternative, and (iv) constitutes reasonably equivalent value and fair
 15 consideration under the Bankruptcy Code and under the laws of the United States, any State
 16 (including Nevada), territory, possession, or the District of Columbia.

17 OO. Unless otherwise provided by law, the reversal or modification of the
 18 Confirmation Order and these Findings on appeal shall not affect the validity of the Plan, or any
 19 agreement or action authorized by the Confirmation Order or under the Plan with respect to any
 20 entity acting in good faith, whether or not that entity knows of the appeal, unless the
 21 Confirmation Order is stayed pending appeal.

22 PP. Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, the Debtors are entitled to
 23 entry by this Court of the Confirmation Order.

24 Submitted by:
 25 RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.
 26 and SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM

Approved / Disapproved by:
 OFFICE OF THE U.S. TRUSTEE

27 By: /s/ Jeanette E. McPherson
 LENARD E. SCHWARTZER, ESQ.
 JEANETTE E. MCPHERSON, ESQ.
 ANNETTE W. JARVIS, ESQ.
 STEVEN STRONG, ESQ.
 Counsel for Debtors

28 By: _____
 August B. Landis

1 [PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF
2 THE "ORDER CONFIRMING THE "DEBTORS' THIRD AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER
3 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION, AS MODIFIED HEREIN"

4 Approved/Disapproved by:
5 LEWIS AND ROCA, LLP

6 By: /s/ Rob Charles
7 SUSAN M. FREEMAN, ESQ.
8 ROB CHARLES, ESQ.
9 *Counsel for the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors of USA Commercial
Mortgage Company*

Approved/Disapproved by:
GORDON & SILVER, LTD.

By: /s/ Gregory Garman
GERALD M. GORDON, ESQ.
GREGORY E. GARMAN, ESQ.
*Counsel for the Official Committee of
Holders of Executory Contract Rights of
USA Commercial Mortgage Company*

10
11 Approved/Disapproved by:
12 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
and BECKLEY SINGLETON, CHTD.

13 By: /s/ Marc A. Levinson
14 MARC A. LEVINSON, ESQ.
15 JEFFERY HERMANN ESQ.
16 BOB L. OLSON, ESQ.
17 ANNE M. LORADITCH, ESQ.
18 *Counsel for the Official Committee of
Equity Security Holders of USA Capital
Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC*

Approved/Disapproved by:
STUTMAN TREISTER & GLATT, P.C. and
SHEA & CARLYON, LTD.

By: /s/ Christine Pajak
FRANK A. MEROLA, ESQ.
EVE KARASIK, ESQ.
CHRISTINE PAJAK, ESQ.
CANDACE C. CARLYON, ESQ.
*Counsel for the Official Committee of
Equity Security Holders of USA Capital
First Trust Deed Fund LLC*

19 Approved/Disapproved by:
20

21 By: /s/ Kevin Darby for
22 ALAN SMITH, ESQ.
Counsel for Lenders Protection Group

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: /s/ DEAN KIRBY, ESQ.
*Counsel for Debt Acquisition
Company of America*

23 Approved/Disapproved by:
24

25 By: /s/ ERIC FIELD, ESQ.
26 *Counsel for Pension Benefit Guarantee
27 Corporation*

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: /s/ NANCY ALLF, ESQ.
ROBERT LEPOME, ESQ.
Counsel for The Alexander Group

1 [PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THE "ORDER
2 CONFIRMING THE "DEBTORS' THIRD AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF
3 REORGANIZATION, AS MODIFIED HEREIN"

4 Approved/Disapproved by:

5 By: _____

6 MICHAEL SCHMAHL, ESQ.
7 *Counsel for Dr. Gary Kantor, Mrs. Kantor
and Kantor Nephrology 401K plan*

8 Approved/Disapproved by:

9 By: /s/ George Davis

10 GEORGE DAVIS ESQ.
11 *Counsel for Compass Partners*

12 Approved/Disapproved by:

13 By: _____

14 GREGORY J. WALCH ESQ.
15 *Counsel for Gregory J. Walch and Shauna
16 M. Walch, Trustees of the Gregory J. and
17 Shauna M. Walch Family Trust*

18 Approved/Disapproved by:

19 By: _____

20 RUSSELL WALKER, ESQ.
21 *Counsel for USA Investment Partners, LLC,
22 Joseph Milanowski and Thomas Hantges*

23 Approved/Disapproved by:

24 By: /s/ Andrew Brumby

25 ANDREW BRUMBY, ESQ.
26 R. VAUGHN GOURLEY, ESQ.
27 *Counsel for Standard Property Development*

28 ///

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: /s/ Janet Chubb

JANET CHUBB, ESQ.
Counsel for Jones Vargas Direct Lenders

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____

DAVID COHEN, ESQ.
Counsel for Sierra Liquidity Fund

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____

JEFFREY SYLVESTER, ESQ.
*Counsel for USA Commercial Real Estate
Group*

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____

SUSAN SCANN, ESQ.
*Counsel for Copper Sage Commercial
Center and Binford Medical Developers, LLC*

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____

WADE GOCHNOUR, ESQ.
ARYN M. FITZWATER, ESQ.
Counsel for Liberty Bank

1 In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies as follows (check one):

2 The court has waived the requirement of approval under LR 9021.

3 No parties appeared or filed written objections, and there is no trustee appointed in the case.

4 I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any
5 unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and any trustee appointed in this case, and each has
6 approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the
7 party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

8 **Failed to respond:**

9 WADE GOCHNOUR, ESQ.

10 SUSAN SCANN, ESQ.

11 RUSSELL WALKER, ESQ.

12 GREGORY J. WALCH ESQ.

13 JEFFREY SYLVESTER, ESQ.

14 DAVID COHEN, ESQ.

15 MICHAEL SCHMAHL, ESQ.

16 ROBERT LEPOME, ESQ.

17 ERIC FIELD, ESQ.

18 DEAN KIRBY, ESQ.

19 August B. Landis, Esq.

20 # # #